## Genetic has an influence on production levels

# Practical experience with different sow lines in France

Fabien Larcher Selvet Conseil



# Program

#### 1. French production

- 1. Global observation
- 2. Performance of French herds

### 2. Performance and genetic

- 1. French genetics
- 2. Danbred
- 3. The sow in France around farrowing



# Quick overlook of the French pig production



## High pig density in West of France

- ▶ 2009
  - 1,1 million sows
  - 24,9 pigs culled



# Quick overlook of the French pig production

 Development of French pig production historically bound to Coops

#### Coops with a full management of the production

- Genetic
- Feed
- Slaughterhouse
- Veterinary and technical service
- •
- Different approaches for the different groups
- Technical improvement sometimes worked in common through the Ifip (French equivalent for Dansk Svineproduktion)



### The French herds

#### 210 sows / herd

- ▶ Farrow to finish units (70% of the sows in 2009)
- Growth of multisite units proportion



## The French herds

#### Older building

- In 2008, 75% of French pig facilities were older than 15 years old
- Data that must be taken in count to compare Danish and French figures

### Welfare

- Less than 30% of the sows housed in group in France
- More than 70% in Denmark



## Health status of French herds

#### PRRS

Only EU strain in the western part of France

#### Influenza

- H1N1 and H1N2 (new strain in extension)
- Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae
  - Most commercial herds positives

#### Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae

- Most virulent strains B1S2, B1S9
- Brachyspira hyodysenteriae
  - No clinical signs, no official status
- Mange
  - Most commercial herds positives
  - No official status



## **Productivity levels**

	Mean	Best 25%	Worst 25	Dk (2008)
Weaned / sow in production/year	27,9	29,7	24,9	27,2
Weaned / litter	11,3	11,8	10,3	12,1
Live born / sow	13	13,4	12,3	14
Dead born	1	1	1,1	1,8
Mortality until Weaning	13,1	11,2	16,4	13,8
Lactation lenght	24,3	22,9	26,1	32
Weaning Weight	7,3	7	7,6	7,3
Number of cycle / year	2,47	2,52	2,4	2,25
Days from Weaning to 1st service	6,2	5,9	6,8	5,1
Fertility (%)	89	91,4	84,3	

Annual report 2009 - Danish Agriculture and Food Council, Pig Research Centre.

Le Porc par les Chiffres, éditions 2010 – 2011 – Ifip Institut du porc chêne vert

# **Comments on productivity**

#### Higher prolificacy in Denmark

15,8 total born vs. 14

#### But smaller difference on total live born

- Increase in Dead born
- Same tendency observed in the highly prolific herd in France (often more than 1,5 dead born if more than 15,5 total born)
- Limit to the prolificacy improvement
- Work to do on viability of the piglet
- Difference in prolificacy explain the higher weaning performance / litter
  - Same mortality levels under the sow



# **Comments on productivity**

#### Short lactation in France

- 24,3 days but 32 days in Denmark
- Rise of weaning at 21 days proportion

#### But same weaning weight than in Denmark (7,3kg)

- Lactation length is not weaning age (it is an overestimation of the age of piglet at weaning)
- Feeding strategies ?
- Genetic ?

#### Days from weaning to 1<sup>st</sup> mating

- 6,2 days in France; 5,1 in Denmark
- Change in the Danish calculation ?? (drop from 6 to 5,1 days between 2007 and 2008!)
- Can be also explained by a shorter lactation in France



## **Comments on productivity**

- Equivalent number of weaned pigs / sow in production / year
  - 27,9/litter in France in 2009; 27,2 in Denmark in 2008
  - Just because of a shorter cycle



# Performance and genetic



## **Genetic in French production**

#### 3 main genetic types

- Classic LW \* LD cross breeding
- Breedings with Chinese blood (Meischan...)
- LW \* LD \* Duroc



## **Genetic suppliers**

#### Many genetic suppliers

- Nucleus
- Gene +
- Hyporc / France Hybride
- Pen ar Lan
- ADN
- PIC
- Topigs / Daland
- (Danbred)

 Different genetic types for each supplier



# **Duroc lines and Topigs**

- Good maternal behaviour
- Healthy sows (small loss of back fat during lactation, good legs, good longevity...)
- Small sows
- More nervous, harder to manipulate
- Lower prolificacy (even if we can see an improvement)







# LW\*LD

- Good prolificacy levels
- Medium maternal behaviour
- Bigger sows
  - Higher feed consumption (in particular for Hyporc sows (France Hybrid))
  - Inferior leg quality (even if improvements are done)





## **Chinese lines**

#### Results close from classical LW\*LD

- Best prolificacy levels (0,2 0,3 total born)
- Normally more maternal sows
- Good milking performance
- Good longevity
- A bit more difficult to conduct the feeding strategy.





## Management great lines

- No big difference between the different lines
- Feeding program
  - Chinese lines : more extra-feeding after weaning
  - Duroc / Topigs : smaller loss of body condition during milking period – lower extra-feeding
  - Anyway small variation between genetics (0,2 – 0,3 FUso)
- Sow behaviour
  - Duroc / Topigs : avoid any intervention that is not necessary.
  - LW\*LD / Chinese : less dynamic sow, more presence needed in farrowing unit.





## Conclusion

Genetic is a source of variation of the results in farms

#### BUT it is secondary to

- Health status
- Feeding strategies
- Management of the farm

Too easy to say I will improve my results thanks to genetic when closing the eyes in front of the real problems



## French genetics / Danbred

- Considering the fact that Danbred is the « only » genetic line in Denmark
  - Global Danish results reflects potential of the Danbred genetic

#### Comparison biased by many factors

- Management (milking period)
- Feeding strategies
- Buildings

Health status



# Danbred

## Close from the LW\*LD hyper prolific

#### sow >>

- High ingestion levels
- More fragile sow
  - Higher mortality rate (15% in Dk vs 4,2% in France)
  - Bound in part to the long lactation in my opinion
    - Euthanasia if big shoulder wound
    - Thicker sow
  - But it doesn't explain such a mortality difference



# Danbred

## A very highly prolific sow

- Interesting to consider that genetic selection is done on the % of live born after 4 days
- Good homogeneity level of piglet regarding the prolificacy

### A maternal sow

Easy to deal with farrowing



# Danbred

### Lactation quality

- If the national level represent the potential of Danbred
- Lactation length in not weaning age
- Doubt on the quality (only 7,3kg)
  - Litter daily gain : 2,5kg/days in Denmark, 3,3 in France (not so many variations between genetics)
  - Boar effect ? (Piétrain in France, Duroc in Denmark)
  - Good levels of ingestion of sows during lactation in Denmark
  - No energetic deficit during that lactation (there would be consequences on time from weaned to 1st service)
- I can't explain this point
  - Bound to excessive adoptions under the sow in Denmark?

# The sow around farrowing in France





# The farrowing management

#### Farrowing induction

- For a better surveillance of farrowing
- Gilts most of time not induced
- Important in the 3 weeks lactation (minimum 19 days of lactation for the uterus involution)
- Around 50% of farms induce parturition in our practice
  - ▶ 10% systematically on multiparous sows
  - ▶ 15% frequent use
  - ▶ 25% occasionally

#### Risk

- Too early induction
- Non viable piglets



## **Farrowing management**

#### Farrowing

Possible to use oxytocin to stimulate farrowing

# Use of prostaglandins after farrowing Done in a lot of farms to limit the risks of uteral

infection



## **Feeding strategies**

#### Normal feeding strategy

- Weaning service : flushing for 4 days
- Gestation
  - ▶ 0 28 days : extra-feeding to recover good body condition (14 - 16 mm of back fat) : 3 to 4 FUso depending on the sow fatness.
  - ▶ 28 90 days : 2,5 FUso

▶ 90 days – farrowing : 3 – 3,5 FUso

#### Lactation

- D1 : 2,5kg of lactation feed then rise of 500g/day to 5kg then reduction of the rise rhythm
- Start with gestation feed to 5 days of lactation then transition on 3 days to lactation feed.



## **Feeding strategies**

## Evolutions in feeding strategies

- Historically linear feeding strategies in gestation (2,8 FUso)
- Increase of diminution of the feed distribution from 28 days to 90 days of gestation
  - ▶ For 10 years through the work of the Ifip
  - Drop to 2 FUso in some herds (sometimes not enough for me) with the influence of Vitfoss development in France
- Development of the distribution of lactation feed the week before farrowing
  - ► To improve lactation start
  - Limit : piglet diarrhoea



## Conclusion

- Same performance levels between France in Denmark in farrowing units
  - Weaned piglets / sow / year
  - But different ways to come to those results!!!

 Genetic can be a way to improve the results but do not forget basic things



## Thank you for your attention

